NREL-AFOSR Workshop, Algal Oil for Jet Fuel Production, Arlington, VA February 19th, 2008

Overview: Algae Oil to Biofuels (annotated presentation)

John R. Benemann Benemann Associates Walnut Creek, CA, jbenemann @ aol.com (925) 352 3352

Abstract – a short history of algae biofuels

- Microalgae were first mass cultured on rooftop at MIT during the early 1950s, first mention of algae biofuels in report of that project.
- Methane from algae studied at U.C. Berkeley during the 1950s, Initial conceptual process and systems analysis published 1960
- The energy shocks of the 1970s led renewed study of microalgae biofuels, H2 and methane in combination with wastewater treatment
- From 1980 to 1995, the U.S. DOE-NREL ASP for microalgae oil production. Initial issue: open ponds vs. closed photobioreactors The ASP culminated in open pond pilot plant at Roswell, New Mexico
- Algae oil production is still a long-term R&D goal. Like the ASP a future program should be an open collaboration by researchers from academia, national laboratories and industry, not inhibited by concerns about IP or commercial interests.

Not enough vegetable oil available. Biodiesel plants now at ~25% capacity, → need new sources



World Biodiesel Production 1991-2005

10 2001 11 2003

2005

Biodiesel Plants Under Construction

2,000

1,500

1,000

500



NYT 1/31/07: "Once a Dream Fuel, Palm Oil May Be an Eco-Nightmare"

BIOLOGIA CHARGE 2000, 12 (200), pp.41 4449 0167-7799

Bubbles are H2 \rightarrow

Microalgae: a source of energy

Microalgae biotech could be a huge source of H₂ fuel Jae Edmonds, World Industrial Biotech Congress, 2004



Optical Photobioreactor for H2 Production (USA, 1977)

Example of not clear on concept: cannot produce cheap biofuels in very expensive bioreactors.



Microalgae for CO2 Capture ? : *Emiliana huxleyi*



Many projects used these algae to abate CO2 emissions

Large "whitening" in the Atlantic Ocean (due to coccolithophorids like E. huxleyi)



MICROALGAE DIVERSITY

•30 000 described species (< 10% of estimated)

•11 Divisions divided into 29 classes (vs. 2/12 vascular plants)





Inoculum Tubes First mass culture project

Plastic bag-type photobioreactors

Roof of MIT Building ~ 1950

Jack Myers and Bessel Kok



ALGAL CULTURE

CH

Idined by JOHN & BURLEW



CARNEGIE INSTITUTION OF WASHINGTON FUBLICATION 600 WASHINGTON, D. C.

Algae Culture from Laboratory to Pilot 2006, Austin, Tx Plant (Burlew, 1953) 1956, Stanford U.





Open raceway paddle wheel mixed ponds now used by 98% commercial microalgae production (Shown: Spirulina farm, Earthrise Co. CA)

Arthrospira platensis (Spirulina)



Spirulina is easy to culture (high alkalinity medium and easy to harvest by screens

Spirulina Culture Expansion (Earthrise Farms)











Spirulina Production in India (Parry Nutraceuticals Ltd.)



Paddle wheels for mixing high rate ponds. (Mixing at or below 30 cm/sec minimizes energy use)



Power required for mixing ponds



Conterly anial of a strutures and an Experimental Series (Series 1997)

Current products from microalgae: nutraceuticals







Spirulina and Haematococcus Ponds at Cyanotech Corp. in Hawaii

2 MW(e) Power Plant and CO2 Capture Tower at Cyanotech Corp., Hawaii





Production of red carotenoid astaxanthin, ~\$10 million/ton (>\$100,000/t algae biomass)



Haematococcus pluvialis production in Israel

STOR STR



These algae can be produced, and are, in open ponds, e.g. Cyanotech or in closed photobioreactors such as these. PBRs have advantages, but much more expensive (>10x)

Most R&D is now on PBRs and several commercial systems established...

Commercial Photobioreactor in Germany For Chlorella produciton. **Over \$10million/hectare!** Went broke in short order

Commercial Photobioreactors in Spain (1989)

For Dunaliella prc Operated for <2 \ before process fa

National Renewable Energy Laboratory



NREL/TP-580-24190

A Look Back at the U.S. Department of Energy's Aquatic Species Program: Biodiesel from Algae





U.S. Dept. Energy Aquatic Species Program (ASP)

The ASP also started out with a PBR design as its amin initial focus.....

Close-Out Report



Patented closed PBR (L. Raymond 1st ASP PM)

Claims: very high yields >100t/ha-y, flashing light effect, oil content ~40%, etc.

Ed Laws at U. Hawaii showed not so. ASP then went to open ponds

KEY PATENT CLAIMS

- GAS LIFT PUMP MECHANISM FOR CIRCULATION, CARBOMATION AND HARVES NOT SO. ASP
- HEAT EXCHANGE FOR TEMPERATURE CONTROL
- FOAN FRACTIONATION / SKINNING HARVESTING
- . CaSO4 SOLUTION IN COVER TO REMOVE INHIBITING IR.
- MIXING TO ACHIEVE FLASHING LIGHT EFFECT

Biotechnology and Bioengineering, Vol. 31, Pp. 336–344 (1988) Photobioreactor Design: Mixing, Carbon Utilization, and Oxygen Accumulation

Joseph C. Weissman* and Raymond P. Goebel Microbial Products, Inc. 408A Union Ave., Fairfield, California 94533

John R. Benemann

Department of Applied Biology, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta,

Photobioreactor design and operation are discussed in terms of mixing, carbon utilization, and the accumulation of photosynthetically produced oxygen. The open raceway pond is the primary type of reactor considered; however small diameter (1–5 cm) horizontal glass tubular reactors are compared to ponds in several respects.

This paper written in response to many claims that closed photobioreactors were superior to open ponds. Pointed out some of the problems faced by both open ponds and closed PBRs.

Open Ponds	vs. Closed	Photobioreactors
Parameter	Relative	Note
Contamination risk	Ponds > PBRs	Just a matter of time for either
Space required	Ponds ~ PBRs	A matter of productivity
Productivity	Ponds ~ PBRs	NO substantial difference except at low temperatures
Water losses	Donds ~ DRDs	Evaporative cooling needed
CO2 losses	PBRs	Depends on pH, alkalinity, etc.
O2 Inhibition		O2 greater problem in PBRs
Process Control	Ponds < PBRs Ponds ~ PBRs	no major differences (weather)
Biomass Concentration	Ponds < PBRs	function of depth, 2 -10 fold
Capital/Operating Costs	s Ponds << PBRs	Ponds 10 -100 x lower cost!

CONCLUSION: Photobioreactors better than ponds? Sometimes but advantages Way overstated. For biofuels can't afford PBRs



Photosynthetic Efficiencies in the Ponds and Photobioreactors (30% dilution/day)

Conclusion: No difference in productivity between them

MICROALGAE PILOT PONDS IN ROSSWEL, NEW MEXICO for Microalgae Production (J. Weissman, P.I., Microbial Products, Inc., 1989-1990 – DOE NREL ASP Project)

CO2 Mass Transfer Coefficients in Roswell Ponds (from Weissman et al., 1990)

Depth	Velocity	k∟	Surface
cm	cm/sec	cm/sec	Renewal, sec
10	10	3.9 x 10 ⁻⁴	150
10	30	1.4 x 10 ⁻³	12
30	10	2.2 x 10 ⁻⁴	480
30 Efficient C	30 202 use at < 30	0.8 x 10 ⁻³ cm depth, <30	37 cm/sec velocity

ROTIFERS (ALGAE GRAZER] – another challenge

Techno-economic analyses of microalgae biofuels

Benemann, J.R., P. Persoff, W.J. Oswald, **1978 Cost Analysis of Algae Biomass Systems** ("100 Square Mile System") U.S. DOE

Benemann, J.R., R.P. Goebel, R.P., J.C. Weissman, and D. C. Augenstein 1982. <u>Microalgae as a source of liquid fuels</u>. Final technical Report to U.S.DOE BER

Weissman, J.C., and R.P. Goebel, **1987**. <u>Design and analysis of</u> <u>microalgal open pond systems for the purpose of producing</u> <u>fuels:</u> A subcontract report US DOE- SERI

Benemann, J.R. and W.J., Oswald **1996**, <u>Systems and economic</u> <u>analysis of microalgae ponds for conversion of CO2 to</u> <u>biomass</u>. Final eport. US DOE-NETL

NOTE: these reports <u>do not</u> conclude that we can produce algae oil, they define <u>long-term research</u> needed to develop such processes

ALGAL LIPID (OIL) CONTENT SOME OLD DATA					
;	f batch growth				
LIPID CONS	ONTENT ND				
20/00)	25(17)				
20(00)	33(17)				
18(1)	65(1)				
25(?)	40(?)				
20(?)	70(?)				
25(?)	35(4)				
20 (log)	45-53 (17-26)				
19(log)	18-26(5)				
25(?)	33(?)				
27-33(?)	54(?)				
22(log)	39(7-9)				
14(log)	36(7-9)				
13(log)	35(11)				
41(log)	72(11)				
20(log)	48(11)				
26(log)	47(22)				
24(log)	64.5(28)				
OT mean high o	il productivity!				
	<pre> [#]No.days 0 LIPID CO NS 20(80) 18(?) 25(?) 20(10g) 19(10g) 25(?) 27-33(?) 22(10g) 14(10g) 13(10g) 14(10g) 13(10g) 20(10g) 24(10g) 24(10g)</pre>				

THE ALLURE OF MICROALGAE BIODIESEL

Oil yields	liters/ha-yr	barrels/ha-yr
Soybeans	400	2.5
Sunflower	800	5
Canola	1,600	10
Jathropha	2,000	12
Palm Oil	6,000	36
Microalgae	60,000-240,000	* 360 -1500*

*Projected high yield (by GreenFuel Technologies) is ~2 x theoretical efficiency (~22,000 gal/acre-yr). Low is maximum yield projected for <u>long-term</u> R&D Near-term (5 yrs?) productivity is perhaps half this!

Microalgae Biodiesel – Reality Check . GreenFuel Technologies 2007 U.S. DOE- NREL Aquatic Species Program ~1987

GREENFUEL Conventure

- Elemb ASTM D6751 (pecification
- Min Denical Tachevologies, Grig Harbor, WA
 Mini Tachevologies, Grig, Huston, MA

Dec 2005: 1st Car in world to run Algae Biodiesel ~10/90 algae biodiesel/soy biodiesel >1500 km

3000

CTICE RANDOM KNONESS & AMERICAS ACTS OF BEAUTY SIDDIESE

TURBO DIESEL

BLOBUEL BI

1st car in world fueled with algae biodiesel Dunaliella salina b-carotene production ponds, India, source of the algae oil used

D. salina oil extraction systems

1st Production of Microalgae Biodiesel - Dec 2004

Ramin Yazdani (Davis, CA) with sample of the ~1 barrel B10 algae biodiesel he made in his backyard refinery from a *Dunaliella* salina extract John Benemann supplied

Near-term Algae Biodiesel: as co-product from Wastewater treatment (Napa, CA, Ponds ~ 300 ac)

←me in 1974

St Helena, California Wastewater Treatment Ponds

High Rate-> Ponds

BIOFLOCCULATION OF MICROACTINIUM these spontaneously forming flocs settle rapidly for lowcost harvesting a key issue in mass culture of microalgae

Mechanism of Bioflocculation of *Micractinium*

Paddle Wheels at existing WWT Ponds, a site for planned technology demonstration project

See Presentation by Tryg Lundquist for details

R&D TARGETS

- Isolate/select algal strains for mass cultures
- Manage ponds for algal species and culture stability
- Maximize overall algal biomass productivity
- Maximize C-storage products and co-products
- Demonstrate large-scale, low cost algal cultivation
- Develop low cost harvesting technologies
- Processing for biofuels and higher value co-products.
- Demonstrate waste treatment nutrient recovery

Mutants of Cyclotella with reduced Antenna Size Polle, Weissman, et al.

SOME CONCLUSIONS

- 1. The problem is not making oil from algae, it is making algae with oil, actually it's just making algae
- 2. Need to improve current best commercial practice and technology by over a factor of ten
- 3. There are many problems, and many, many claims to solutions. No universal, only specific, solutions
- 4. Example: harvesting is species specific, not generic
- 5. We MUST develop high productivity strains
- 6. Photobioreactors limited to inoculum production
- 7. Wastewater treatment is the near-term application

Microalgae Biofixation Network - Members

CGTEE and Eletrobrás (Brazil)

ONGC and TERI (India)

NIWA, NZ SRI International (USA)

PNNL (Pacific Northwest National Laboratory)

FINAL THOUGHTS

 "The successful growth of algae is more or less an art and a daily tightrope act with the aim of keeping the necessary prerequisites and various unpredictable events involved in algal mass cultivation in a sort of balance" (Wolfgang Becker, posted at commercial production plant)

• "The advantage of biofuels and other renewable energy sources is that they will be so scarce and expensive that we will need to use them very frugally instead of wasting them wantonly as we do now with fossil fuels, and would with nuclear energy" (John Benemann).